**NHRC suggestion on TADA**

3668. SHRI VIREN J. SHAH:
SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether the National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) has sought any details from Government about the persons detained under the Terrorist and Disruptive Activities (Prevention) Act (TADA) in the country;

(b) if so, when was this information asked and when it was furnished to NHRC and what are the details thereof;

(c) whether the NHRC has also asked Government to impress upon the State Governments to ensure that the designated courts deal exclusively with TADA cases; and

(d) if so, what action has been taken in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI RAJESH PILOT): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) NHRC has asked for information through their letter dated 16.9.94 and 31.1.95. The State Governments furnished the required data during the period Oct., 1994 to March, 1995.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) The States/UTs implementing TADA were advised that the designated court may deal exclusively with TADA cases and they should not be saddled with additional work so that the trial of the TADA cases is not delayed.

**Minority commission's report on Pilibhit killings**

3669. SHRI VIREN J. SHAH: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) when was the Report on Pilibhit killings submitted to Government by the National Commission for Minorities;

(b) what are the details of findings and recommendations made by the Commission in its report;

(c) what concrete action has been taken by Government on each of the recommendations contained in the said report; and

(d) what are the details of compensation paid to the families of those killed and what action has been taken against the police officials found guilty?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI RAJESH PILOT): (a) to (d) The incident relating to Pilibhit killings was investigated by the CBI. Upon the report of CBI, the Hon'ble Supreme Court directed to Government of Uttar Pradesh to pay compensation to the dependents of the victims @ Rs. 50,000/- each. The amount of compensation has been paid by the Government of Uttar Pradesh.

**Import of Capstun wearon system**

3670. SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 1368 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 22nd March, 1995 and state:

(a) the reasons for considering Capstun Weapon System as not in the interest of overall security since the law and order situation for the individual citizens is deteriorating every day and the need for such a weapon is there;

(b) what are the details of the private sector firm which was permitted to import and market Capstun Weapon System, the conditions of such

import, amount of foreign exchange involved for the import and the customs duty paid; and

(c) whether on the similar conditions some more applications for the import of such system from private parties would be considered and approved?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI RAJESH PILOT (a) The free availability of the capstun weapon system in the open market may not be in the overall security interest in the country. If this system is freely available in the market, posibility of its misuse by anti-social/anti-national elements against law abiding citizens cannot be ruled out. Further, in order to ensure safety and security of law abiding citizens, there already exists arms licensing policy under which licences for possession of firearms are granted for personal safety.

(b) So far only one firm in the private sector namely, M/s. Weston Electroniks Ltd., New Delhi has once been permitted to import and market capstun weapon system. Requisite information regarding convitions of such import, amount of foreign exchange involved, customs duty paid etc. is being collected from the concern departments and will be laid on the Table of the House.

(c) There is a need to restrict the availability of the system to the general public because of the potential misuse if it falls into wrong hands. As such, it is considered necessary that no such permission should be granted to any other firm in the private sector. Ministry of Commerce have already been advised to place the capstun weapon system in the Negative List of Import under Import Export Policy.

**Composition of the High Level Delegation to israel**

3671. SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 1364 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 22nd March, 1995 and state:

(a) the details and composition of the high level delegation which went to Israel;

(b) what are the companies and organisations they had held discussion with and what are the details of such discussion; and

(c) what is the reaction of Government on the outcome of the visit of the delegation?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI RAJESH PILOT): (a) A high level delegation which visited Israel in January 1995 consisted of DG BSF, IG(AIR) BSF and Joint Secretary(S) MHA.

(b) Discussions were held with Sibat, (Ministry of Defence, Israel) and with two companies namely SECOTEC and MAGAL.

(c) No final view in the matter has yet been taken.

**Money Spent by Delhi Police on Publicity Campaign**

3672. SHRI AAS MOHAMMAD:
SHRI KANAKSINH MOHANSINH MANGROLA: ..

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Delhi Polce have spent some money on the publicity campaign during the last three years to improve its image;